

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/521,948	ZHANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 80

- (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. (3) _____.
 (2) Carol J. Roth {Reg. No. 32,783}. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2010
Time: 12:49pm
Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

80

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Thursday, July 15, 2010 @12:49pm and left a voice mail message requesting that the "or" on the next to the last line of claim 80 be changed to an "and" for proper Markush language format. Applicant's representative called the Examiner @1:06pm of same day and gave the Examiner permission to make the change to claim 80.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)